Graz, Austria

Healthy and Sustainable City Indicators Report: Comparisons with 25 cities internationally

Global Healthy & Sustainable City-Indicators Collaboration



© Shutterstock Standard Image License



Full report including data, methods and study limitations has been published as:

The Lancet Global Health Series on urban design, transport, and health. 2022. https://www.thelancet.com/series/urban-design-2022

Global Observatory of Healthy & Sustainable Cities. 2022. https://www.healthysustainablecities.org

Population data: Schiavina, M. et al. (2019): GHS population grid multitemporal (1975, 1990, 2000, 2015) R2019A. European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC). https://doi.org/10.2905/42E8BE89-54FF-464E-BE7B-BF9E64DA5218 Urban boundaries: Florczyk, A. et al. (2019): GHS Urban Centre Database 2015, multitemporal and multidimensional attributes, R2019A. European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC).

https://data.jrc.ec.europa.eu/dataset/53473144-b88c-44bc-b4a3-4583ed1f547e

Urban features: OpenStreetMap contributors. Openstreetmap (2020). https://planet.osm.org/pbf/planet-200803.osm.pbf.torrent Colour scale: Crameri, F. (2018). Scientific colour-maps (3.0.4). Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1287763

Study executive

Deepti Adlakha, Jonathan Arundel, Geoff Boeing, Ester Cerin, Billie Giles-Corti, Carl Higgs, Erica Hinckson, Shiqin Liu, Melanie Lowe, Anne Vernez Moudon, Jim Sallis & Deborah Salvo

Editors

Carl Higgs, Melanie Lowe & Billie Giles-Corti

Local collaborators (Graz)

Bernhard Inninger, Oliver Konrad, Sylvia Titze & Klaus Gebel

Healthy and Sustainable City Indicators Report

This brief report outlines how Graz performs on a selection of spatial and policy indicators of healthy and sustainable cities. Our collaborative study examined the spatial distribution of urban design and transport features and the presence and quality of city planning policies that promote health and sustainability for 25 cities across 19 countries.

Comparisons with the median values for all cities included in this international study could inform changes needed for local city policies. The maps show the distribution of urban design and transport features across Graz, and identify areas that could benefit the most from interventions to create healthy and sustainable environments.

Policy presence in Graz

Urban design and transport policies supporting health and sustainability




Policy quality in Graz

Policy quality rating for specific, measurable policies aligned with consensus evidence on healthy cities




(below) Percentage of population with access to amenities within 500 metres (m) in Graz, Austria.



City planning requirements	% of cities with requirement met, by country income group		
	Graz	Middle /6	High /19
Specific health-focused actions in metropolitan urban policy	✓	0%	84%
Specific health-focused actions in metropolitan transport policy	1	50%	63%
Health Impact Assessment requirements in urban/transport policy/legislation	X	33%	11%
Information on government expenditure on infrastructure for different transport modes	X	33%	47%
Air pollution policies related to transport planning	✓	50%	89%
Air pollution policies related to land use planning	✓	67%	84%

Walkability in Graz


Walkable neighbourhoods provide opportunities for active, healthy, and sustainable lifestyles through having sufficient but not excessive population density to support adequate provision of local amenities, including public transport services. They also have mixed land uses and well-connected streets, to ensure proximate and convenient access to destinations. High-quality pedestrian infrastructure and reducing traffic through managing demand for car use can also encourage walking for transport.



(above)	87.5%	of	population	live	in	neighbourhoods	with
walkability scores greater than the 25 international city median							


Walkability policy for Graz						
	olicy ntified	Specific standard or aim	Measurable target	Consisten with healt evidence		
Housing density requirements	✓	1	\	?		
Street connectivity requirements	√	1	1	1		
Parking restrictions to discourage car use	√	1	X	?		
Pedestrian infrastructure provision	√	1	Х	✓		
Cycling infrastructure provision	1	1	Х	?		
Walking participation targets	1	1	✓	1		
Cycling participation targets	✓	1	1	1		

Population density



(above) 44.1% of population meet minimum threshold* for neighbourhood population density (5,677 people per $\rm km^2)$

Street connectivity




(above) 81.3% of population meet minimum threshold* for neighbourhood street intersection density (106 intersections per $\rm km^2)$

* Thresholds are based on our modelling of built environment features required to reach the World Health Organization's Global Action Plan for Physical Activity target of a 15% relative reduction in insufficient physical activity through walking. We found preliminary evidence that street intersection density above 250 per km² and ultra-dense neighbourhoods (> 15,000 persons per km²) may have decreasing benefits for physical activity. This is an important topic for future research.



Public transport access




Easy access to frequent public transport is a key determinant of healthy and sustainable transport systems. Public transport near housing and employment increases the mode share of public transport trips, thus encouraging transport-related walking; offering access to regional jobs and services; improving health, economic development, and social inclusiveness; and reducing pollution and carbon emissions. The frequency of services also encourages public transport use, in addition to the proximity of stations or stops.

Public transport policy for Graz						
	Policy identified	Specific standard or aim	Measurable target	Consistent with health evidence		
Requirements for public transport access to employment and services	✓	✓	X	1		
Employment distribution requirements	1	1	X	1		
Minimum requirements for public transport access	✓	1	✓	1		
Targets for public transport use	1	1	1	1		

Local access to high-quality public open space promotes recreational physical activity and mental health. Nearby public open space creates convivial, attractive environments, helps cool the city and protects biodiversity. As cities densify and private open space declines, providing more public open space is critical for population health. Having public open space within 400 m of homes can encourage walking. Access to larger parks may also be important.

Public open space policy for Graz							
	Policy identified	Specific standard or aim	Measurable target	Consistent with health evidence			
Minimum requirements for public open space access	✓	✓	1	1			

Public open space access



Summary

The availability and quality of urban and transport policies supporting health and sustainability in Graz is well above average compared with other cities studied. However, Graz does not appear to have policies requiring health impact assessments of urban and transport interventions, and information on expenditure on transport infrastructure by mode was not identified. It also appears to lack measurable targets for some walkability-related and public transport standards. Nonetheless, most neighbourhoods in Graz are highly walkable relative to the 25 cities in this international study. In terms of thresholds to achieve WHO targets to increase physical activity, 44% of residents in Graz live in neighbourhoods meeting density thresholds, and 81.3% live in neighbourhoods meeting street connectivity thresholds. The vast majority of residents (92%) have access to public transport stops within 500m, although frequency of service data were not available. While the vast majority of residents also have access to some public open space within 500m, only 39% live within 500m of a larger public open space, and this access appears to be markedly spatially patterned, with access high in the city's north and along the Mur river, but lower in the south, particularly west of the Mur. Compared with other cities studied, the proportion of the population in Graz with access to large public open space is below average.

