Odense, Denmark

Healthy and Sustainable City Indicators Report: Comparisons with 25 cities internationally

Global Healthy & Sustainable City-Indicators Collaboration



© Jonas Legarth/VisitOdense



Full report including data, methods and study limitations has been published as:

The Lancet Global Health Series on urban design, transport, and health. 2022. https://www.thelancet.com/series/urban-design-2022

Global Observatory of Healthy & Sustainable Cities. 2022. https://www.healthysustainablecities.org

Population data: Schiavina, M. et al. (2019): GHS population grid multitemporal (1975, 1990, 2000, 2015) R2019A. European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC). https://doi.org/10.2905/42E8BE89-54FF-464E-BE7B-BF9E64DA5218 Urban boundaries: Florczyk, A. et al. (2019): GHS Urban Centre Database 2015, multitemporal and multidimensional attributes, R2019A. European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC).

https://data.jrc.ec.europa.eu/dataset/53473144-b88c-44bc-b4a3-4583ed1f547e

Urban features: OpenStreetMap contributors. Openstreetmap (2020). https://planet.osm.org/pbf/planet-200803.osm.pbf.torrent Colour scale: Crameri, F. (2018). Scientific colour-maps (3.0.4). Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1287763

Study executive

Deepti Adlakha, Jonathan Arundel, Geoff Boeing, Ester Cerin, Billie Giles-Corti, Carl Higgs, Erica Hinckson, Shiqin Liu, Melanie Lowe, Anne Vernez Moudon, Jim Sallis & Deborah Salvo

Editors

Carl Higgs, Melanie Lowe & Billie Giles-Corti

Local collaborators (Odense)

Lars Breum Christiansen, Merete Hvid Dalnæs, Jasper Schipperijn & Jens Troelsen

Healthy and Sustainable City Indicators Report

This brief report outlines how Odense performs on a selection of spatial and policy indicators of healthy and sustainable cities. Our collaborative study examined the spatial distribution of urban design and transport features and the presence and quality of city planning policies that promote health and sustainability for 25 cities across 19 countries.

Comparisons with the median values for all cities included in this international study could inform changes needed for local city policies. The maps show the distribution of urban design and transport features across Odense, and identify areas that could benefit the most from interventions to create healthy and sustainable environments.

Policy presence in Odense

Urban design and transport policies supporting health and sustainability




Policy quality in Odense

Policy quality rating for specific, measurable policies aligned with consensus evidence on healthy cities

33.5/57

Median score for 25 cities internationally (25.5)


(below) Percentage of population with access to amenities within 500 metres (m) in Odense, Denmark.



0	City planning requirements	% of cities with requirement met, by country income group		
	Oc	dense	Middle /6	High /19
	Specific health-focused actions in metropolitan urban policy	1	0%	84%
	Specific health-focused actions in metropolitan transport policy	1	50%	63%
	Health Impact Assessment requirements in urban/transport policy/legislation	X	33%	11%
	Information on government expenditure on infrastructure for different transport modes	X	33%	47%
	Air pollution policies related to transport planning	1	50%	89%
	Air pollution policies related to land use planning	1	67%	84%

Walkability in Odense

Walkable neighbourhoods provide opportunities for active, healthy, and sustainable lifestyles through having sufficient but not excessive population density to support adequate provision of local amenities, including public transport services. They also have mixed land uses and well-connected streets, to ensure proximate and convenient access to destinations. High-quality pedestrian infrastructure and reducing traffic through managing demand for car use can also encourage walking for transport.



walkability scores greater than the 25 international city median


Walkability policy for Odense


	olicy ntified	Specific standard or aim	Measurable target	Consistent with health evidence
Housing density requirements	1	×	X	1
Street connectivity requirements	1	?	?	?
Parking restrictions to discourage car use	1	1	X	1
Pedestrian infrastructure provision	1	1	×	1
Cycling infrastructure provision	1	1	×	1
Walking participation targets	1	1	1	1
Cycling participation targets	1	1	1	1

Odense, Denmark


Population density

Street connectivity





(above) 0.0% of population meet minimum threshold* for neighbourhood population density (5,677 people per $\rm km^2)$




100 125 150 200 50 75 175 Neighbourhood street intersection density (per km²)

(above) 85.3% of population meet minimum threshold* for neighbourhood street intersection density (106 intersections per km²)


* Thresholds are based on our modelling of built environment features required to reach the World Health Organization's Global Action Plan for Physical Activity target of a 15% relative reduction in insufficient physical activity through walking. We found preliminary evidence that street intersection density above 250 per km² and ultra-dense neighbourhoods (> 15,000 persons per km²) may have decreasing benefits for physical activity. This is an important topic for future research.



Public transport access



Public open space access



Easy access to frequent public transport is a key determinant of healthy and sustainable transport systems. Public transport near housing and employment increases the mode share of public transport trips, thus encouraging transport-related walking; offering access to regional jobs and services; improving health, economic development, and social inclusiveness; and reducing pollution and carbon emissions. The frequency of services also encourages public transport use, in addition to the proximity of stations or stops.

Public transport policy for Odense

	Policy identified	Specific standard or aim	Measurable target	Consistent with health evidence
Requirements for public transport access to employment and services	1	?	?	?
Employment distribution requirements	\checkmark	×	×	?
Minimum requirements for public transport access	\checkmark	1	1	1
Targets for public transport use	1	1	1	1

Local access to high-quality public open space promotes recreational physical activity and mental health. Nearby public open space creates convivial, attractive environments, helps cool the city and protects biodiversity. As cities densify and private open space declines, providing more public open space is critical for population health. Having public open space within 400 m of homes can encourage walking. Access to larger parks may also be important.

Public open space policy for Odense				
	Policy identified	Specific standard or aim	Measurable target	Consistent with health evidence
Minimum requirements for public open space access	1	1	X	1

Summary

Overall, the availability and quality of urban and transport policies supporting health and sustainability in Odense are well above average compared with other cities studied. However, Odense does not have a requirement for health impact assessement of transport and land use interventions. For many of its built environment and transport standards, it also lacks measurable targets. Relative to the 25 cities in this international study, the majority of neighbourhoods in Odense are walkable, except on the urban fringe. However, in terms of achieving WHO targets to increase physical activity, while no residents live in neighbourhoods meeting minimum population density thresholds, 85% live in neighbourhoods meeting street connectivity thresholds. Almost 60% of residents have access to public transport stops with regular services within 500m. Almost all residents have some public open space within 500m, and about three quarters of residents also have access to larger public open space. Compared with other cities studied, the proportion of the population in Odense with access to large public open space is well above average.

Citation

Global Healthy & Sustainable City-Indicators Collaboration. 2022. Odense, Denmark—Healthy and Sustainable City Indicators Report: Comparisons with 25 cities internationally (English). https://doi.org/10.25439/rmt.19586767



This work is licenced under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.